

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/759,567	01/11/2001	Fran Gare	1032-2	9679	
7590 05/31/2005			EXAMINER		
Keusey, Tutunjian and Bitetto, P.C.			WONG, LESLIE A		
14 Vandervente	r Avenue				
Suite 128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Port Washington, NY 11050			1761		

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/759,567	GARE, FRAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leslie Wong	1761		

Advisory Action	09/759,567 GARE, FRAN					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Leslie Wong	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence addre	9SS			
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) a	fee under 37 s set forth in (b)			
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAppeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the 	1.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	hs of the date of filing to of the appeal. Since a N	the Notice of			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belonger.	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or	Contract Con	tarata tartatara				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	-	, timely filed amendme	nt canceling			
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	rill be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 41-60.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ied.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by the claimed invention does not define over the prior art	for the reasons of record.	•	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	· , ——				
13. Other:		Leslie Wong Primary Examiner	rg			

Art Unit: 1761

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment "wherein the mix provides a baked product having consistency and texture equivalent to conventional baked products without the use of gluten" raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.